

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/658,891	RIVAS ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Peter Szekely	1714

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed.

(1) Peter Szekely.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Gregory LaPointe.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 January 2006

**Time:** 6:45 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 3-7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The dependency of claims 3-7 will be changed from claim 2, to claim 1.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)